

ARC920010011US1
09/902,729

REMARKS

This amendment is in response to the Examiner's Office Action dated 8/10/2005 and further in view of the interview of 10/19/2005. Applicants are appreciative of the opportunity for an interview and the professional and courteous manner in which the interview was conducted.

During the interview of 10/19/2005, the Examiner pointed out that we did not provide any arguments with respect to the pending claims and, hence, he had rejected the claims under the arguments previously presented. At this point in the interview, the Examiner was respectfully referred to applicants' response filed on 05/18/2005, which included a detailed discussion of why the applicants felt that the art of record did not teach or suggest applicants' claimed invention. The Examiner informed applicants' representative that the response filed on 05/18/2005 was overlooked. The Examiner, after consulting with his supervisor, encouraged the applicant to file a request to reconsider so the arguments presented in the response of 05/18/2005 can be fully addressed in a new office action.

As per the examiner's suggestion, a request for reconsideration is hereby submitted and applicants believe that the case is in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendment and the remarks that follow.

STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1-27 are pending.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-14, 18-22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Zhang et al. (USP 6016478).

ARC920010011US1
09/902,729

Claims 23-27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Arent (USP 6018724).

Claims 3 and 17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being anticipated by Zhang as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Kim (USP 6546002).

Claims 5, 6, 15, and 16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Godfrey et al. (USP 6463463).

OVERVIEW OF CLAIMED INVENTION

The presently claimed invention describes a system and method for providing a user with information associated with the source of an incoming call or message. This information is retrieved from entries stored in a personal information management (PIM) database. When a user receives a call/message, it is sometimes necessary for the user to access, immediately, all the information relating to the caller/sender to efficiently handle the communication. In some cases, the user needs to be reminded of issues to be addressed, schedules to be reminded of or any relevant data in relation to the caller/sender. For example, an insurance agent may require all the information relating to a particular accident at the time a claimant calls to proceed with filing a claim. Thus, the present invention provides a communication triggered automatic retrieval of information related to a caller/sender.

In the preferred embodiment, a retrieval of data available on the originator/transmitter of the call/message is automatically triggered and displayed to a user receiving the message, in a manner somewhat similar to CallerID. First, the system of the present invention identifies the

Page 10 of 15

ARC920010011US1
09/902,729

caller/sender using an agent associated with the specific communication device/means transmitting the communication. Second, after the user receives the call/message, the system retrieves associated entries in a database using the present invention's just-in-time-retrieval system. Third, the information which is based on the identity of the caller/sender is then summarized and organized for display any of a plurality of devices accessible by the user. For example, information on a caller who makes a telephone call to the user can be displayed, at the user's election, on a Personal Data Assistant (PDA), computer, pager, or other communications device.

In another embodiment, the information is provided at the direct request of a user who supplies the system with a client identifier used to locate and gather related entries and records stored in a database. The gathered information is then summarized and organized for display on a user accessible communications device. In yet another embodiment, the present invention is implemented in a business model wherein one or more analysts and one or more traders rely on an implementation of the system of the present invention downloaded to electronic devices, such as a PDA, cellular phone, or pager.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-14, 18-22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Zhang et al. (USP 6,016,478). Claims 23-27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Arent (USP 6,018,724). For a claim to be properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), each and every element of the claim must be found in a single reference. Both the

ARC9200100110S1
09/902,729

Zhang and Arent references, either singly or in combination, fail to provide or suggest many of the claimed elements.

As mentioned in the interview of 10/19/2005, the examiner is respectfully requested to review the previously submitted office action of 05/18/2005 for a detailed response with respect to the Zhang and Arent references.

As mentioned in the previous response and in the interview of 10/19/2005, with respect to independent claims 1, 10, and 14, the examiner points to Figure 10, element 1002 of the Zhang invention as providing for detecting the identity of said source; however, a closer analysis of discussion associated with this component provides for "compos[ing] scheduling message for event." Applicants are unsure how Zhang's limitation of composing a scheduling message can be interpreted as a step of detecting the identity of the source. Clarification is respectfully requested from the examiner regarding this issue.

Also, as mentioned in the previous response and in the interview of 10/19/2005, with respect to independent claims 1, 10, and 14, the Examiner also cites column 31, lines 15-65 and column 5, lines 50-55 as providing for retrieving data from a database based on the identity of the sender of the communication; however, the referenced sections speak to parameters describing group events, specifically, a new group appointment data structure and a function for adding a group event to the group scheduling database. Applicants are unsure how such a limitation described in Zhang can be equated to the step of retrieving data from a database based on the identity of the sender of the communication. Clarification is respectfully requested from the examiner regarding this issue.

Page 12 of 15

ARC920010011US1
09/902,729

Also, as mentioned in the previous response and in the interview of 10/19/2005, with respect to independent claims 1, 10, and 14, the Examiner cites column 6, lines 15-45 of Zhang as providing for rendering data in one or more electronic devices associated with the recipient of incoming communication; however, the referenced sections make no mention of communication to any personal device, any formatting, or summarizing of information. Rather, Zhang simply provides that scheduling messages are processed upon transmit and receipt from a remote client. Figure 11, element 1103 of the Zhang reference, as referenced by the Examiner, provides for parsing messages from IDENTIFIED senders and recipients whereas the present invention provides for *detecting the identity* of a communication sender and *obtaining further information* on that sender.

Claims 3 and 17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being anticipated by Zhang as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Kim (USP 6,546,002). Claims 5, 6, 15, and 16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Godfrey et al. (USP 6,463,463).

As mentioned in the interview of 10/19/2005, the examiner is respectfully requested to review the previously submitted office action of 05/18/2005 for a detailed response with respect to the Zhang and Kim references.

As mentioned in the previous response, with regards to independent claims 23 and 27, the Examiner cites the Arent reference as providing for facilitating business transactions, based on information retrieved over the World Wide Web. The Arent reference is included primarily for

ARC920010011US1
09/902,729

its teaching of an authentication method that informs a user as to the success or failure of a security or authentication protocol. The Arent reference, in figure 2, elements 200, 240, 250, and 270 provides for requesting proof of certification from a merchant, authenticating the merchant, and certifying or refusing to certify the merchant as authentic. The Arent reference simply ensures that a merchant "is who he says he is". In other words, the only information that is used to make this certification is information provided by the merchant itself; no other information is searched for, retrieved, or extracted. In contrast, the present invention provides for detecting the identity of the business, and then accessing the World Wide Web to find more information about the business and summarizing this extracted information related to the detected identity. The present invention provides for more than simply authenticating the identity, it provides a user about to engage in a business transaction more information about the business with which they are about to transact business. Applicants respectfully contend that the Arent reference teaches the opposite; an "electronic" wallet that holds information related to the user, NOT to the business.

Hence, based on the arguments presented in the interview of 10/19/2005, the present response, and the response of 05/18/2005, none of the references, cited or applied, provide for the specific claimed details of applicants' presently claimed invention, nor render them obvious. It is believed that this case is in condition for allowance and reconsideration thereof and early issuance is respectfully requested.

As this amendment has been timely filed within the set period of response, no petition for extension of time or associated fee is required. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiencies in the fees provided to Deposit Account No. 09-0441.

Page 14 of 15

ARC920010011US1
09/902,729

If it is felt that an interview would expedite prosecution of this application, please do not hesitate to contact applicants' representative at the below number.

Respectfully submitted,



Ramraj Soundararajan
Registration No. 53832

1725 Duke Street
Suite 650
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
(703) 838-7683

October 21, 2005

Page 15 of 15